

IS LANGNER THE MURDERER?

Arrested Here for a Crime in Massachusetts.

Supposed of Having Killed Miss Mary Emerson in Dedham.

The supposed perpetrator of a cold-blooded murder this for over a year puzzled the police of Dedham, Mass., and for whose apprehension a reward of \$400 was offered, has been arrested at 620 Water street, in this city.

The name given by the prisoner at Police Headquarters was August Langner, aged thirty years, a native of Silesia, Germany. On the afternoon of June 2, 1891, Mary Emerson, proprietress of a respectable boarding-house in Dedham, Mass., was brutally murdered. She was found dead in a closet by one of the boarders.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

There was no evidence of a struggle, the only marks found upon the body being a slight abrasion of the skin about the throat and a discoloration of the neck indicating that death had been caused by strangulation. The murdered woman was married, and in 1887 she was divorced from her husband, who was a person of that age, and was universally respected.

THEIR FARES WEREN'T PAID.

And the Chicago Aldermen Got Lost in the Crowd.

And They Didn't Have a Good Time at All.

There are eleven angry Chicago dignitaries in town. Six of them are Aldermen, and the remaining five are prominent officials of the Windy City.

They came on to New York, they claim, at the invitation of the Committee of One Hundred, who promised to pay their fares and see that they were taken care of, but since their arrival here the Committee has repudiated them, and they have been obliged to do their own thing and sight-seeing on their own hook.

They are all staying at the Astor House, where they arrived Monday. The party included Alderman Bigler, of the Twenty-fourth Ward; Alderman Hartine, of the Thirty-fourth; Alderman Jackson, of the Fourteenth; Alderman Brown, of the Eighteenth; and Alderman Fisher, of the Twentieth.

Besides the Aldermen there are Tax Collector C. O. Hanson, Deputy City Clerk Isaac N. Powell, Sergeant-at-Arms Frank A. Brown, T. Schmidt and L. Wolf.

They had considerable difficulty in finding New York, having first been taken to Washington by mistake, but they got here at last, and the Central Office here is now in the Central Railroad of New Jersey, who found them wandering bewildered around the streets of the National Capital.

The first thing they did on their arrival in town, according to Mr. Powell, who is the leader of the delegation, was to call on the Committee of One Hundred for information and instructions.

The only one of the Chicago City fathers who had received his ticket upon the acceptance of his invitation was Alderman Jackson. The others wanted to know what had become of theirs, and were also desirous of seeing the parade.

They were dumfounded, says Mr. Powell, when they were told that there were no tickets for them, and that as to the matter of the public observation stands could be purchased at schedule prices. At this unexpected rebuff they were naturally indignant, not to say furious, and resolved to have nothing to do with the Committee.

Some of them succeeded in purchasing seats in Union Square, while others, by getting out early yesterday morning, captured places on the free stand in City Hall Park.

Mr. Wolf, who went to call on a friend in the morning, got caught in the crowd and missed the whole show. He regarded himself as lucky in getting back to his hotel in time for a late dinner.

Last night they roamed around in the crowds and tried to see the parade, but they were disappointed, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Chicago Aldermen are now in a bad mood.

EDISON IS NOT YET OUT.

Rumors of His Withdrawal from the Company Denied.

An Intimation that the Wizard Would Not Be Misled.

The rumor in Wall street that inventor Thomas Edison was out of the Edison General Electric Company and was preparing, with Henry Villard and other financiers, to organize another company with \$10,000,000 capital to fight the Edison Company was denied point blank at the Company's office, 44 Broad street, this morning.

The general sentiment in the street, too, seemed to discount the rumor, although the grounds for Mr. Edison's alleged action, and his interests were not widely guarded, and he was consequently thrust aside in the consolidation of the Edison and Thomson-Houston companies.

Said a man who is conversant with Wall street matters: "I don't think there is anything in the rumor of Mr. Edison's withdrawal."

"Moneyed men are not going to throw away money in fighting such a well-backed concern as the Edison Company with its many millionaire directors."

"So far as the Edison Company is concerned they might not worry over Mr. Edison's withdrawal, for he has sold his inventions."

John H. Herrick, Third Vice-President of the Edison Company, said to an Evening World reporter: "I don't believe a word of the rumor. Mr. Edison is still with the Company, and so far as I know has no intention of withdrawing from it."

"I will not say that it is true that the Company owns Mr. Edison's present inventions, but we cannot foresee what may happen in the future."

Charles A. Pillsbury, whose name has been mentioned in connection with the rumored new company, said to an Evening World reporter: "I have been mixed up with Mr. Edison's affairs."

In connection with the rumor in question Wall street was today also discussing a rumor involving the Westinghouse Company.

Mr. Westinghouse held a conference with Mr. Villard yesterday, which led to a supposition that Mr. Westinghouse and the Edison Company were in a dispute over the rights of the Edison Company.

"The Edison people are trying to give the Edison Company a good name, but they are not going to keep it up with their own hands."

"Full explanation of the bearing of the recent developments on the Edison Company is further than there is no need of any statement now."

From reports that come from Monmouth County there is evidently a screw loose somewhere in the matter of the Edison Company.

The Edison Company is now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

TAL OF THE TURF.

Yesterday's Races Proved the

Advisability of Carrying Heavy Weight.

GOOD JOCKEYS CAN BE USED.

Garfield Park Association Making a Gallant Fight Against Chicago.

YEMEN BID UP BY SPIERS.

A Determined Effort Made to Rob the Public at Point Breeze.

An argument in favor of raising the schedule of weights can be found by glancing over the results of races run at Morris Park yesterday. Fairly carried 137 pounds and won the opening event in a canter, leaving a good field, including Chesapeake and Correction.

Annie F. Colt, a two-year-old, shouldered 124 pounds and won the Diamond Stakes. Handicapped 124 pounds, and beat Kidder, Montana and Russell in a canter in the Manhattan Handicap. No better argument could have been furnished. The proof is present that weight will not stop a good horse, and the limit should be raised so that jockeys like Hayward, McLaughlin, Fitzpatrick, Garrison and others can ride in every race.

While there are a few new ones, the race closely from start to finish. By raising the scale of weights such jockeys as Bergh, Sims, Hamilton, Lambier, Doggett, Tait and others can take on weight and ride stronger and better horses.

From reports that come from Monmouth County there is evidently a screw loose somewhere in the matter of the Edison Company.

The Edison Company is now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.

They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood. They are now in a bad mood, and the Edison Company is now in a bad mood.